

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/491,675	01/26/2000	David L. Multer	FUSN1-01001US0	8895	
28554	7590 12/30/2003	12/30/2003		EXAMINER	
VIERRA MAGEN MARCUS HARMON & DENIRO LLP			ALI, MOHAMMAD		
	T STREET, SUITE 540 CISCO, CA 94105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2177	7x	
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003	ιO	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/491,675	MULTER, DAVID L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mohammad Ali	2177				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a repl oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 (</u>	October 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 49-75 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-48 is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 49-75 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers	or oloodon roquilomonia.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes and the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Apporting documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not restic priority under 35 U.S.C. § Inst sentence of the specification of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §	polication No eceived in this National Stage eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) ion or in an Application Data Sheet. en received. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/491,675 Page 2

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is responsive to the Amendment with Declaration under 37
 C.F.R. 1.131 filed on October 15, 2003.

2. Claims 49-75 have elected and 1-48 withdrawn from the consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 49-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alley et al ('Alley'), US Patent 5,710,922.

Art Unit: 2177

As to claim 49, Alley discloses a data synchronization system for a first system having a plurality of data sources each with a data source format, and a second system having a plurality of data sources each with a data source format (see col. 2, lines 5-27, Fig. 3). Alley teaches 'a first data synchronizer on the first system transmitting at least one set of difference information to an output' (col. 2, lines 5-27 et seq). Finally, Alley teaches 'a second data synchronizer on the second system coupled to the first system receiving said at least one set of difference information from the first system' (col. 2, lines 55-67, Fig. 2 et seq).

As to claim 50, Alley teaches 'difference information comprises change transactions from the data source to the data destination' (col. 3, lines 4-17).

As to claim 51, Alley teaches 'a data source interface' (col. 7, lines 64 to col. 8, lines 15, Fig. 3). Further, Alley teaches 'a copy of a previous state of each said data source' (col. 2, lines 56-67). Alley teaches 'a source data constructor applying difference information to said copy' as (col. 2, lines 56-67 et seq). Finally, Alley teaches 'a difference information generator' as (col. 2, lines 56-67 et seq)

As to claim 52, Alley teaches 'difference information is transmitted from said first synchronizer to said second synchronizer in a universal format' as (col. 2, lines 56-67 et seq).

As to claim 53, Alley teaches 'data synchronizer includes a plurality of difference source interfaces, each corresponding to a data source format' as (col. 2, lines 56-67 et seq).

Art Unit: 2177

As to claim 54, Alley teaches 'first system and second system are coupled via a network' as (Fig. 3 et seq).

As to claim 55, Alley teaches 'network is the Internet' (Fig. 3 et seq).

As to claim 56, Alley teaches 'first system is a server and said second system is a device capable of communicating with said server' as (see col. 2, lines 5-24 et seq).

As to claim 57, Alley teaches 'first and second systems are coupled to a storage server, and said difference information is transmitted to said storage server by said first synchronizer and retrieved from said storage server by said second synchronizer' as (see col. 2, lines 5-24 et seq).

As to claim 58, Alley teaches 'systems are coupled to said storage server via the Internet' as (see col. 2, lines 5-24 et seq).

As to claim 59, Alley teaches 'a management server communicating with said first and second data synchronizers' as (see col. 2, lines 5-24 et seq)

As to claim 60, Alley teaches 'management server indicates a location on the storage server where difference information for said synchronizers are stored' as (see col. 2, lines 5-24, Fig. 3 et seq).

As to claim 66, Alley discloses a method for synchronizing at least a first file and a second file resident on a first and a second systems, respectively (see col. 2, lines 5-24 et seq). Alley teaches 'determining difference data resulting from changes to a first file on the first system' (see col. 2, lines 5-24 et seq). Further, Alley teaches 'transmitting the difference information to a second system' as (col. 3, lines 4-18 et seq). Alley teaches 'applying the difference information to generate change data for the second file'

Art Unit: 2177

as (col. 2, lines 55-67 et seq). Finally, Alley teaches, 'updating the second file on the second system with the difference data' as (col. 2, lines 55-67 et seq).

As to claim 67, Alley teaches 'comparing data from the first file to a copy of a previous state of data from the first file' as (col. 2, lines 55-67 et seq).

As to claim 71, Alley teaches 'step of transmitting comprises coupling the first system and the second system to a network and transmitting said information from the first system to the second system via the network' as (col. 2, lines 5-25 et seq).

As to claim 72, Alley teaches 'the network is the Internet' as (Fig. 3 et seg).

As to claim 73, Alley teaches 'step of transmitting comprises coupling the first system and the second system to a server and transmitting said information from the first system to the server, and from the server to second system' as (col. 3, lines 5-25 et seq)

As to claim 74, Alley teaches 'step of coupling includes coupling the first and second system to the server via a network' as (col. 2, lines 5-24 et seq).

As to claim 75, Alley teaches 'the network is the Internet' (Fig. 3 et seq).

As to claim 61, Alley disclose a data synchronization system (see col. 2, lines 5-24 et seq). Alley teaches 'a server' as synchronize a new user dataset, such as one in a server computer that stores user information (see col. 2, lines 5-24 et seq). Further, Alley teaches 'a first system having a plurality of data file types on the system' as (see col. 2, lines 5-24 et seq). Alley teaches 'a differencing synchronizer on the first system extracting a first set of differencing data from the data files on the first system when the data files on the system are changed, outputting the differencing data to the server, and

Art Unit: 2177

retrieving differencing data from the server and applying it to selected data files on the first system' as (see col. 2, lines 5-24, Abstract et seq). Alley teaches 'at least one second system having a second plurality of data file types on the second system' as (see col. 2, lines 5-24 et seq) Finally, Alley teaches 'a differencing synchronizer on the second system the differencing data from the data files on the second system when the data files on the system are changed, outputting the differencing data to the server, and retrieving the first set of differencing data from the server and applying it to selected data files on the second system' as (col. 3, lines 4-25, col. 2, lines 4-24 et seq).

As to claim 62 Alley teaches 'the system are coupled to allow transfer of said difference data between systems' as (col. 3, lines 5-24, Fig. 3)

As to claim 63, Alley teaches 'systems are coupled via the Internet' (see Fig. 3 et seq).

As to claim 64, Alley teaches 'a server coupled to each of said first and second systems to receive, store, and output said first set and said second set of differencing data' as (see col. 2, lines 5-24 et seq).

As to claim 65, Alley teaches 'first system is a server and said second system is a device capable of communicating with said server' as (col. 2, lines 4-25, Fig. 3 et seq)

As to claim 68, Alley teaches 'comparing step comprises data from said first file, converting said data to a universal file format, providing 'said copy of said data in said universal format, and comparing said data and said copy to provide difference data in said universal format' as (col. 2, lines 55-67).

Art Unit: 2177

Page 7

As to claim 69, Alley teaches 'constructing new file data for said second file in said universal data format' as (col. 2, lines 4-25, Fig. 3).

As to claim 70, Alley teaches 'updating comprises translating said new file data into a format of said second file' as (col. 2, lines 55-67 et seq).

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is (703) 605-4356. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790 or Customer Service (703) 306-5631. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for any communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Mohammad Ali

Patent Examiner

AU 2177

MA

December 27, 2003